May 20, 2009

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VOL. I

LUV N'CARE, LTD AND )

ADMAR INTERNATIONAL, INC. )

VERSUS ) NO. 08-CIV 4457

WALGREEN CO., AND KMART CORP)

30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF LUV N' CARE, LTD THOURGH ITS DESIGNEE EDWARD HAKIM, commencing at 4:08 p.m. on May 20, 2009, at the Atrium, Bonaparte Room, 2001 Louisville Ave, Monroe, Louisiana. Reported by Paige Davenport, Certified Court Reporter Certificate Number 28004, State of Louisiana.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

May 20, 2009

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	FOR PLAINTIFF ADMAR:	
3	Law office of Mr. Joe D. Guerriero	
4	3030 Aurora Avenue	
5	Monroe, Louisiana 71201	
6	Appearing herein by and through:	
7	Mr. Joe D. Guerriero	
8		
9	FOR DEFENDANT WALGREEN CO.:	
10	Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.	
11	200 E. Las Olas Blvd, Suite 2040	
12	Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301	
13	Appearing herein by and through:	
14	Mr. Jason R. Buratti	
15		
16	FOR DEFENDANT KMART CORP:	
17	Wiley Rein	
18	1776 K Street NW	
19	Washington, DC 20006	
20	Appearing herein by and through:	
21	Mr. Robert J. Scheffel	
22		

May 20, 2009

		3
1	ALSO PRESENT:	
2	Mr. Garrett Berten	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

May 20, 2009

4 STIPULATIONS 2 This deposition is being taken for the 3 discovery/trial in accordance with Article 1421, et seq., of the Louisiana Code of Civil 4 5 Procedure. All formalities in connection with the taking of the deposition are waived with the 7 exception of the swearing of the witness and reduction of questions and answers to 9 typewriting. 10 The witnesses and parties have reserved the reading and signing of the deposition. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

This page intentionally left blank.

May 20, 2009

- A. That's correct.
- Q. What is the product in exhibit A
- 3 called?
- <sup>4</sup> A. Sports sipper.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. What is the product in exhibit D
- 6 called?
- A. Flip it cup, and some people call it a
- 8 straw cup, but it's a flip it straw cup.
- Q. Mr. Hakim, I'm going to hand you
- exhibits 35 and 39. First, is there any
- difference in the physical product of exhibits -
- what were the exhibit numbers?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. There is a physical difference? What's
- $^{15}$  the physical difference in the products of
- exhibits 35 and 39?
- A. Thirty-nine, exhibit 39, was our old
- version, and exhibit 35 is a newer version with a
- much stronger, clarified PP, and a more
- clarified, different brand of silicone. Exhibit
- 21 35 will take much more impact than exhibit 39.
- Q. When did the physical product change?

- A. I think the product changed sometime -
- we made the change sometime December/January.
- 3 January of '09 -
- <sup>4</sup> Q. '09?
- A. December '08. Additionally, the screw
- 6 cap on exhibit 39 is opaque and the screw cap on
- 7 35 is transparent.
- Q. Translucent? Is that a more
- appropriate term for it? It lets light through,
- but it's not transparent? You can see through
- <sup>11</sup> it?
- A. Translucent, but you can also see
- through it as well, when's it taken off, so I
- would call it transparent.
- Q. Why did Luv 'N Care change from the
- translucent, older design to the opaque, newer
- design for the cap?
- 18 A. To get a to get what we call a
- 19 fluorescent look. A brighter so you could get
- the colors brighter in the screw caps. Hold it
- up to the light. I think that will help you.
- Q. So, the newer cup has the translucent

- 1 screw cap?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And the older cup has the opaque cap?
- <sup>4</sup> A. Opaque.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Now, are there any other changes to the
- 6 physical product, from exhibit 39 to exhibit 35?
- A. With regards to the cup itself, no.
- 8 Q. Now, what are the differences between
- <sup>9</sup> the packaging from exhibit 39 to exhibit 35?
- A. There's been a slight packaging update,
- or packaging change, with regards to the shrink
- 12 **film**.
- Q. With regards to the what?
- A. The shrink film, the printed shrink
- film, which is shrunk around both cups.
- Q. What are the changes?
- A. Each one?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- A. All right, the arrow -
- 20 Q. Arrow?
- 21 A. The arrow -
- 22 Q. Okay.

May 20, 2009

- A. on exhibit 39 is red, with a dark
- blue outline. The arrow on exhibit 35 is a
- graded blue, with a darker blue outline. The
- 4 word soft is in red on exhibit 39, with a dark
- 5 blue outline. The word soft is also larger in
- type, and also a different style type than that
- of the word soft on exhibit 35. Exhibit 35, the
- 8 word soft is a smaller type than that on exhibit
- 9 39. It's also in blue, which is not on 39
- exhibit. It's also outlined with a white, double
- stroke. The word spout on exhibit 39 is in blue.
- 12 It's in a darker blue than that on exhibit 35.
- 13 It's in a different style type. It also has a
- 14 light background, with a stroke. However, the
- inside of the letters on the P and the O inside
- $^{16}$  the center of the word you have a white
- background. The word spout, also on exhibit 39,
- $^{18}$  has an exclamation mark in blue, which is
- outlined in white, which does not appear on the
- word spout in exhibit 39. The word soft spout is
- 21 not surrounded by another color, nor is it
- overlaid onto another color, as the word soft

- silicone spout is on exhibit 35, which has a
- background of red, which is also in a sunburst,
- and the sunburst is surrounded on the top and the
- 4 left and right side by an orange and yellow
- outline. The wording above the sunburst on
- exhibit 35 has wording that reads, I believe that
- must be it's a foreign language. I'm not sure
- $^8$  what foreign language it is. It could be -
- 9 Q. There's four words.
- A. one of a hundred, one of about 50
- different foreign countries that we sell in.
- 12 It's in one of those languages. I don't recognize
- $^{13}$  it. Moving down to the center of the cup, the
- $^{14}$  verbiage no spill cup on the exhibit 39 is all in
- $^{15}$  white. It's in one straight line, and has a
- different font that the verbiage no spill cup on
- exhibit 35. The no spill cup on exhibit 35 is in
- blue type, with a white stroke. The O on exhibit
- 19 35 is that of a trademark symbol that's got,
- looks like a drop of water with a line through
- 21 it, and a circle around it, with a white
- 22 background. The entire wording, no spill cup,

1	has a yellow background with a lot of yellow
2	dots. That's on exhibit 35. On exhibit 39, the
3	no spill cup is in white with a blue background.
4	To the right of no spill cup, we have a half of a
5	rectangle, which is in a light blue, and a light
6	green, and a darker green outline that reads six
7	months plus, and a white with a green stroke
8	around the wording six months plus. That is not
9	contained on exhibit 39. On exhibit 35, in the
10	yellow bank, which is the background of the
11	verbiage, no spill cup, there's a verbiage that
12	reads 10oz. 295mL., and then it shows a recycle
13	symbol, and a wash symbol. That is a different
14	style and font than that on exhibit 39, which is
15	in white that says 10oz.295mL. Looking back at
16	the verbiage of Nuby on exhibit 35, that is to
17	the center of the cup. It's marked in red, with
18	a white background, and a red outline, which is
19	around the verbiage Nuby. On exhibit 39, the
20	Nuby is the same, but it's smaller, and it is to
21	the left hand side of the cup. On exhibit 35,
22	there's a symbol on the right side of the package

- which reads easy to use, with a check in the
- middle, natural drinking action. That has a
- white background, with red words, and a red
- 4 outline. That is not contained on exhibit 39.
- $^{5}$  On exhibit 35, directly up under the word Nuby,
- 6 there's a symbol that symbolizes Veri-Flo.
- 7 That's a symbol that we made up. To the right
- 8 side of that symbol reads, Veri-Flo Valve/and
- <sup>9</sup> then it's in that same foreign language. On the
- exhibit 39, that symbol is not on that cup. On
- exhibit 35, there's a symbol to the left of the
- words no spill cup, which says BPA free. The BPA
- 13 free symbol is in green, with white lettering,
- and a stroke of darker green around the verbiage
- BPA free. There's no BPA free symbol on exhibit
- 39. On exhibit 35, under the name Nuby, it just
- has the trademark. However, on exhibit 39, under
- the name Nuby, it has by Luv 'N Care, and a dark
- 19 purplish blue. On exhibit 39, -
- 20 (PHONE RINGING)
- MR. GUERRIERO: Sorry.
- A. right in front of the word, no spill

- cup, which is in the dark blue band, you have a
- symbol that says well, it's a big dot with a
- line through it. It's a white background, with a
- 4 dark blue outline. To the left of that is
- verbiage that says, no valve. To the right side
- 6 of that is says, no mess. Neither of those, in
- 7 that format, are on exhibit 35. Directly up
- under that, you have verbiage that says, no more
- 9 leaky valves!, with a big red dot to the left of
- 10 that. All that verbiage, exclamation mark, and
- dot is in red with a dark blue outline. If you
- go to the back of the cup, they both have UPC's
- with a white background, and a dark blue outline.
- Up under exhibit 35, the UPC code, it has the
- name Nuby in red with a white background. On
- exhibit 39, up under the UPC code, the labeling
- 17 reads Luv 'N Care, Limited, and a white
- lettering, with a dark blue background, and then
- 19 they both have an address up under each one of
- them. On exhibit 39, it reads P.O. Box 6050,
- Monroe, Louisiana, 71211, U.S.A., and then it
- gives a and a 1-800 number,

- 1 1-800-Luv-N-Care. On exhibit 35, that verbiage
- reads Luv 'N Care, Limited, 3030 Aurora Avenue,
- Monroe, Louisiana, 71201, U.S.A.,
- 4 http:/www.nuby.com, 1-800-Luv-N-Care.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. And also the color the stripe?
- A. I went over all that.
- Q. Did you get the color of the stripe?
- A. The color of these stripes?
- 9 Q. Yeah, the stripe across the middle.
- 10 A. I did that twice.
- Q. That's why I said that was a lot of
- 12 changes. The packaging is not the packaging is
- not shown in the exhibit B to the complaint, is
- 14 it?
- A. I don't think so, but we'll look and
- see. No, there's no packaging shown.
- 17 Q. Is packaging part of the trade dress
- that's asserted against Walgreens in this case?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. What packaging?
- A. It would be the packaging in exhibit
- <sup>22</sup> **39**.

- Q. But not the packaging in exhibit 35?
- A. It would be both.
- Q. Would you categorize the number of
- 4 changes that you just identified in the packaging
- between exhibits 39 and 35 as a lot?
- A. A lot of changes with regards to the
- 7 location, and the different words in the
- verbiage, but we made this change, as I said, in
- December, December 2008, January 2009, and this
- 10 product won't land here in the U.S.A. for
- 11 probably another 60 days.
- Q. So, there's no public use yet in the
- United States of the packaging in exhibit 35?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of whether or not
- Walgreens has ever been provided notice that it's
- that there's packaging trade dress asserted
- 18 against it?
- A. I can tell you that Walgreens was sent
- a letter by our attorneys, and I think that
- letter speaks for itself, whatever it addresses
- is self explanatory.

May 20, 2009

- Q. Is that the July, 2006, letter?
- 2 Exhibit 29?
- A. This is one letter. There may be more.
- 4 I'm not sure about that. We would just have to
- 5 talk to the attorneys and find out.
- Q. I'm just going to ask Joe D., since
- <sup>7</sup> he's here.
- MR. BURATTI: That's the only letter
- 9 that we're aware of. Is that -
- MR. GUERRIERO: Let's go off the
- 11 record for a minute.
- MR. BURATTI: All right.
- 13 (OFF RECORD)
- Q. All right, to Luv 'N Care's knowledge,
- is this, exhibit 29, the only letter that has
- been dispatched to Walgreens that would have
- asserted trade dress?
- A. I believe so, but I'm not sure.
- Q. And that trade dress is referred to in
- the letter? Look at the bottom of the second
- 21 paragraph.
- A. What specifically are you asking about

- this letter? The second page or the first page?
- Q. There's a reference to trade dress in
- 3 the second paragraph. You see that?
- A. Yes, second paragraph, page one, of the
- <sup>5</sup> July 18, 2006 letter.
- Q. And is there any packaging referred to
- <sup>7</sup> in that letter?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. Where?
- A. Under trade dress.
- 11 Q. How could Walgreens have known that?
- A. How could Walgreens have known what?
- Q. Have known that the trade dress meant
- packaging, as opposed to product design?
- A. Well, it's talking about the product.
- 16 It doesn't say the cup or the package.
- Q. And do you see in the second to last
- paragraph, Mr. Cohen, that's Luv 'N Care's
- 19 lawyer, right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Mr. Cohen says, in the second to last
- 22 paragraph in that letter, -

May 20, 2009

22

- A. On the first page?
- Q. I'm sorry, the second to last paragraph
- $^3$  on the second page. Do you see there, it says, I
- 4 am not accusing you of infringement at the
- 5 present time?
- A. Yeah, let's put the whole paragraph in.
- 7 Let's not take one little excerpt out of the
- 8 sentence. It is my understanding that you have
- 9 not sold, offered for sale, or imported any
- unauthorized products to date. Accordingly, I am
- $^{11}$  not accusing you of infringement at this time.
- Q. Okay, but there's no accusation of
- infringement associated with the July, 2006,
- 14 letter. Is that right?
- A. That's incorrect. What Mr. Cohen is
- trying to say, and I think it's self-explanatory
- if anybody can read it, that since you we don't
- believe you imported it or sold it, there's no
- 19 infringement. Obviously, once you import it and
- sell it, there's infringement.
- Q. Had Mr. Cohen seen, prior to sending
- this letter for Luv 'N Care, packaging that would

- have been associated with any other Walgreen's
- 2 product, that would compete with Luv 'N Care
- 3 products?
- A. Has he seen our packaging?
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Has he seen any other packaging that
- 6 Walgreens was considering using?
- A. I don't know, you would have to ask Mr.
- 8 Cohen. There would have been more than one way
- 9 to have gotten a sample of it, and purchased it.
- 10 O. How?
- A. Well, they could have seen it in the
- Walgreen's offices. They could have been given a
- sample. They could have taken a sample out of
- the sample room. From my understanding, anybody
- could go in that sample room, unescorted.
- Q. Where did you get that understanding?
- A. From Jack Hakim and Nathan.
- 18 Q. Their understanding was that anyone
- 19 could walk into -
- A. Sample room.
- Q. And that understanding when did you
- receive that understanding from them?

May 20, 2009

24

- A. A week or two ago.
- Q. Was it after Mr. Kruckman's deposition?
- A. I believe so.
- MR. BURATTI: Counsel -
- 5 A. The sample room is basically open to
- 6 anyone. That's what I was told.
- MR. BURATTI: And Mr. Guerriero, this
- question is for you. I just want to know who the
- 9 representatives will be that will be under the
- 10 protective order, because it sounds as though
- there may have been discussions about the subject
- 12 matter of that deposition?
- MR. GUERRIERO: Not with me.
- MR. BURATTI: Do you know who the
- representatives are? I assume it's going to be
- 16 Ed, and Jack, and Joe.
- MR. GUERRIERO: Yes.
- MR. BURATTI: But not Mr. Samosh?
- MR. GUERRIERO: No. Are you talking
- about as it relates to the protective order?
- MR. BURATTI: Right, when it's
- entered.

May 20, 2009

25

- MR. GUERRIERO: I'm sure we can reach
- an agreement who all is going to be in it. We
- 3 can certainly have discussions, and it shouldn't
- 4 be a problem as to designate whose going to be in
- <sup>5</sup> it.
- Q. Did you discuss the Kruckman deposition
- 7 with Mr. Samosh at all?
- 8 A. No. Who is Mr. Kruckman?
- 9 Q. Mr. Kruckman was Walgreen's rule 30(b)6
- designee.
- A. No, I don't know him. Never seen him,
- 12 never talked to him.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what's previously
- $^{14}$  been marked as exhibit 10, and just get you to
- confirm for me that the packaging in exhibit 10
- is that that's on exhibit 39.
- A. No, it's not the same packaging.
- Q. So, the packaging from exhibit 10 to
- $^{19}$  exhibit 39 is -
- A. Different as well. It is closer to
- that of exhibit 35.
- Q. Which is? Which is closer?

May 20, 2009

26

- 1 A. Thirty-five.
- Q. But which is closer, exhibit 10 or
- 3 exhibit 39?

5

- A. What you gave me -
  - Q. Exhibit 10 looks more like -
- A. Yeah, it looks more like 35, not 39.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what's previously
- been marked as exhibit four. Do you recognize
- <sup>9</sup> the product depicted in exhibit four?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And is that newer packaging or older
- 12 packaging?
- A. This is the only packaging that we ever
- 14 made for Walgreens.
- 15 Q. Is the packaging in exhibit four closer
- to exhibit 35 or exhibit 39?
- A. It's closer to exhibit neither.
- Neither, really. This is two pack. These are
- 19 single packs.
- Q. Exhibit four is the two pack gripper
- <sup>21</sup> cup?
- A. It's the two pack gripper cup.

May 20, 2009

27

- Q. And the -
- A. Exhibit four.
- Q. The one sold by Walgreens previously?
- A. That's correct.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Is that packaging trade dress asserted
- 6 against Walgreens?
- <sup>7</sup> A. Yes.
- 8 Q. When was that assertion inserted into
- 9 this case?
- 10 A. The packaging? It's always been. It's
- 11 a trade dress. It's spelled out in Morris Cohen's
- 12 letter.
- Q. Can you show me where in the complaint
- a trade dress that includes packaging as to the
- gripper cup, as asserted against -
- A. I can read the complaint. I'm not an
- attorney, but we can go through if you have the
- 18 time, we can go through it.
- 19 Q. I think it's actually broken down into
- sections that refer to exhibits, if that helps,
- 21 but you take your time.
- MR. GUERRIERO: For purposes of

- 1 convenience, since I wasn't at the last
- deposition, is that exhibit four to what?
- MR. BURATTI: That's defendant's
- exhibit four.
- 5 MR. GUERRIERO: Okay.
- A. Well, I mean, I'm right here on the
- very first page that I turned to, on 13, where it
- 8 says facts, significant times, funds, and effort
- 9 for also expanding, designing, and developing
- 10 essentially appealing and attractive product
- designs and packaging for plaintiff's goods.
- Q. I'm trying to target just exhibit B. I
- mean, there's no we already established that
- there's no packaging in exhibit B to the
- complaint, which I'll show you has been well,
- $^{16}$  you have the black and white there in front of
- you. Right? There's no packaging in exhibit B to
- the complaint.
- A. Okay, we'll say there's no packaging in
- the exhibit.
- Q. And there's no packaging in exhibit C?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. And there's no packaging in exhibit E?
- A. No, you're correct.
- Q. If you turn back to exhibit A to the
- 4 complaint, though, there is packaging, right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. So, it stands to reason that you could
- <sup>7</sup> have included packaging in the exhibits to the
- 8 complaint for the other exhibits?
- <sup>9</sup> A. I guess the attorney could have.
- 10 There's packaging in C.
- 11 Q. There -
- A. See? There is packaging.
- Q. Okay, and where is the packaging in
- 14 exhibit C?
- A. It's inside the cup. That's the only
- packaging that's ever been, and it is just like
- their package. Well, their packaging is
- obviously just like our packaging. Identical.
- Same color leaflet, verbiage, folded, put inside
- the cup.
- Q. Prior to May 1, 2009, how would
- Walgreens have known about the packaging on

- exhibit 35?
- A. Prior to May1, 2009?
- Q. Yeah.
- A. They wouldn't have.
- Q. And would any U.S. consumer have known
- 6 about the packaging on exhibit 35?
- A. It depends on whether we've used that
- 8 package before.
- 9 Q. You don't know?
- A. We've had about a dozen different style
- 11 packagings for this cup, depending on the
- country, and who they go to.
- Q. But what we're talking about, which
- we've noted repeatedly, products in the United
- 15 States, for United State trade dress protection,
- 16 right?
- A. Well, I would say that we try to keep
- our packaging looking somewhat similar, so I
- would say that this package on exhibit 35, since
- it's a single pack, and obviously not a two pack,
- and doesn't have all the verbiage on the other
- exhibit four that you gave me, but it is somewhat

- similar to that of exhibit four, with regards to
- the big yellow stripe with the dots, behind the
- word no spill cup. And of course, it doesn't
- have the "Wow! \$3.00" because that's what we used
- 5 for Walgreens. All we did was take the packaging
- 6 on exhibit four and simply make it for a single
- 7 pack, and we could figure the verbiage so it
- 8 would fit a single pack, and not a two pack.
- 9 Q. Now, the packaging on exhibit four was
- just for Walgreens?
- A. No, for other people as well.
- Q. How many times does the word Nuby
- appear on the packaging for exhibit 35?
- A. Once on the front, and once on the
- back, that may be a lot of small verbiage up
- above there. You can read it better than me.
- Q. Once in the web site domain, right?
- A. Right.
- 19 Q. -
- A. Yes, but other than that, its got Nuby
- in red on the back.
- Q. Right. No spill, Veri-Flo, and Nuby

- are registered trademark in the small print.
- 2 That's four on the packaging, right?
- 3 A. Right.
- Q. And then it also appears on the product
- 5 itself?
- A. Yes, on the very face of the product.
- 7 Q. How many times?
- A. To the fact of the product, which is
- engraved, that's on the it's embedded and
- engraved in the silicone spout. Not good lighting
- in here. It may be on the bottom. Let's see.
- 12 It's on the bottom.
- Q. So, seven times the word Nuby appears
- on the exhibit 39 product?
- A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. I'm sorry, I said 39, I meant 35.
- A. Thirty-five.
- Q. And does it also appear that many times
- on the exhibit 39 product?
- A. On 39? It appears on the bottom of the
- 21 cup. That's one. Engraved on the face of the
- cup, is two, and then the spout, that's three.

May 20, 2009

33

- 1 The face of the cup, four, five. Five times on
- the product, and this is not counting the
- 3 leaflets on the inside.
- Q. Does the packaging on exhibit 39 what
- 5 Nuby used for its one pack gripper cup prior to
- 6 2009?
- A. At least this one, maybe more.
- Q. Does Walgreens sell any one pack
- 9 accused products that are the soft spouted type?
- A. I don't know if they took promotions on
- 11 the onset of this program.
- Q. I'm talking about accused products, not
- 13 Luv 'N Care.
- A. You're talking about ours?
- Q. I'm talking about accused.
- A. Oh, theirs?
- Q. The Walgreen product.
- 18 A. I don't know.
- Q. Assume for a moment, if I represented
- to you that Walgreens doesn't sell, and never has
- sold a one pack a one piece soft spout cup,
- would you be asserting -

- A. I would take your word for it.
- Q. Well, would you be asserting then, one
- pack gripper cup packaging against Walgreens, or
- just the two pack packaging?
- 5 A. I would only assert against Walgreens
- 6 what I, personally, feel looks like our package.
- 7 If we have a two pack package, and they had a one
- 8 pack package, and the packages still look alike?
- Yes, and vice versa. If we sold them a one pack,
- and they made their two pack look like the two
- pack of our one pack, I would still say yes, they
- would infringe.
- Q. To Luv 'N Care's knowledge, is
- Walgreens doing that?
- A. Doing what?
- Q. Making a two pack packaging that looks
- 17 like one pack Luv 'N Care packaging?
- A. I think their packaging looks just like
- our packaging.
- Q. But which packaging? The exhibit four
- 21 packaging? The exhibit 35 packaging, or the
- exhibit 39 packaging?